

1 COX, WOOTTON, LERNER
2 GRIFFIN & HANSEN LLP
3 LYNN L. KRIEGER (SBN 209592)
4 LKrieger@CWLFFirm.com
5 ZVONIMIR A. SOLA (SBN 317704)
6 ZSola@CWLFFirm.com
7 900 Front Street, Suite 350
8 San Francisco, CA 94111
9 Telephone: (415) 438-4600
10 Facsimile: (415) 438-4601

11 Attorneys for Plaintiff-in-Limitation
12 ARAMARK SPORTS AND
13 ENTERTAINMENT SERVICES, LLC

14 BRODSKY MICKLOW BULL & WEISS LLP
15 KURT MICKLOW (SBN 113974)
16 kmicklow@bmbwlawfirm.com
17 EDWARD M. BULL III (SBN 141996)
18 ebull@bmbwlawfirm.com
19 JARRETT MICKLOW (SBN 352356)
20 jmicklow@bmbwlawfirm.com
21 955 Harbor Island Drive, Suite 130
22 San Diego, California 92101
23 Telephone: (619) 225-8884
24 Facsimile: (619) 225-880

25 Attorneys for Claimant MOHEY MERSAL

26 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
27 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

28 In the Matter of the Complaint of
29 ARAMARK SPORTS AND
30 ENTERTAINMENT SERVICES, LLC,
31 as the owner of a certain 2017 Sea Ray
32 – SDX, 220 Outboard.

33 For Exoneration from or Limitation of
34 Liability.

35 **Case No. 2:25-CV-01112-DJC-JDP**

36 **STIPULATION AND ORDER**
37 **LIFTING INJUNCTION ON THE**
38 **STATE COURT ACTION AND**
39 **STAYING THIS ACTION**

40 **Admiralty & Maritime Claim**

41 Plaintiff-in-Limitation, ARAMARK SPORTS AND ENTERTAINMENT
42 SERVICES, LLC. (hereinafter “ARAMARK”) and Claimant-Respondent MOHEY
43 MERSAL (hereinafter “MERSAL”) hereby submit their Stipulation and Proposed
44 Order Lifting Injunction on the State Court Action and Staying This Action as follows:

1 **I. RECITALS**

2 WHEREAS this action arises from a personal injury incident that occurred on
3 August 10, 2024, on Lake Tahoe. On that date, a group of friends rented a motorboat
4 from ARAMARK and used the motorboat, a certain 2017 Sea Ray – SDX, 220
5 Outboard, Hull Identification No. SERV2678C717 (hereinafter “the Vessel”) to motor
6 to Emerald Bay to swim. One member of the group, MERSAL, suffered a severe
7 propeller injury while the group of friends was swimming from the Vessel in Emerald
8 Bay on that date.

9 WHEREAS on October 8, 2024, MERSAL filed a Complaint for Personal
10 Injuries with a Demand for Jury Trial in Sacramento Superior Court, Case No.
11 24CV020410 (hereinafter “the State Court Action”), which alleged a single cause of
12 action for negligence against ARAMARK and other defendants. ARAMARK
13 answered the Complaint in the State Court Action on November 27, 2024;

14 WHEREAS on April 14, 2025, ARAMARK filed a petition for exoneration from
15 or limitation of liability in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1333, 46 U.S.C. § 30501,
16 et seq. and under Rule 9(h) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedures and Rule F of the
17 Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims. (DE #1). The petition
18 seeks to exonerate or limit ARAMARK’s liability for any and all claims arising out of
19 the August 10, 2024 incident on Lake Tahoe;

20 WHEREAS, at ARAMARK’s request, this Court approved ARAMARK’s
21 proposed Order Directing Monition and Injunction; Issue Notice; and Approving *Ad*
22 *Interim* Stipulation For Value (DE #12) which, *inter alia*, enjoined MERSAL from
23 pursuing the State Court Action pending further order of this Court. This Order also
24 established a deadline for any and all claims arising out of the August 10, 2024,
25 incident involving the Vessel to be filed in this action;

26 WHEREAS the only claimant to file a claim and answer to ARAMARK’s
27 petition within the monition period was Claimant MERSAL (DE #14);

1 WHEREAS the case authorities establish that in limitation of liability cases
2 where there is but a single claimant to a limitation fund who had previously filed a state
3 court action, it is well within the district court's discretion to dissolve its injunction to
4 permit the single claimant to pursue a state court action by jury trial provided that a
5 stipulation is entered that protects the vessel owner's right to have the federal court
6 ultimately decide its right to seek limitation of liability. *See, Lewis v. Lewis & Clark*
7 *Marine, Inc.*, 531 U.S. 438, 442, 454 (2001). To invoke the "single claimant
8 exception", "a claimant "must" stipulate to the following: "(1) that the value of the
9 limitation fund equals the combined value of the vessel and its cargo; (2) waive the
10 right to claim res judicata based on any judgment rendered against the vessel owner
11 outside of the limitation proceedings; and (3) concede the district court's exclusive
12 jurisdiction to determine limitation of liability issues." *In re: Complaint of Ross Island*
13 *Sand & Gravel*, 226 F.3d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 2000).

14 II. STIPULATIONS

15 WHEREFORE, based on the above, MERSAL hereby stipulates:

- 16 1. that the \$31,432.00 *ad interim* limitation fund ARAMARK posted
17 herein equals the combined value of the accident vessel and its cargo and pending
18 freight;
- 19 2. to waive the right to claim res judicata based on any judgment rendered
20 against ARAMARK outside of the limitation proceedings;
- 21 3. to this district court's exclusive jurisdiction to determine limitation of
22 liability issues.

23 WHEREFORE, based on the above, MERSAL and ARAMARK hereby
24 stipulate and respectfully request that the Court order:

- 25 1. that the injunction currently restraining MERSAL from pursuing the
26 State Court Action be lifted;
- 27 2. that the \$31,432.00 *ad interim* limitation fund ARAMARK posted
28 herein be deemed to equal the combined value of the accident vessel and its cargo

1 and pending freight following the August 10, 2024, incident on Lake Tahoe;

2 3. that the parties be permitted to litigate through trial if necessary the State
3 Court Action and then return to this Court to litigate ARAMARK's right to limitation
4 of or exoneration from liability if and only if the State Court Action, including any
5 claims for contribution and/or indemnity result in a finding of liability against
6 ARAMARK and the total amount of damages awarded against exceeds the \$31,432.00
7 ad interim limitation fund ARAMARK has posted herein;

8 4. that if MERSAL obtains a judgment against ARAMARK in the case of
9 *Mohey Mersal v. Travel Systems, LLC, et al*, Sacramento Superior Court, Case No.
10 24CV020410, he will not execute on said judgment without first returning to this Court
11 to adjudicate ARAMARK's right to seek limitation of or exoneration from liability;

12 5. that the injunction preventing any other lawsuits or claims to be filed (DE
13 #12) remains in effect as to all lawsuits and claims other than the State Court Action;

14 6. that MERSAL not seek a determination of ARAMARK's right to limit its
15 liability in any proceeding other than the instant one;

16 7. that counsel for ARAMARK advise this Court immediately when the
17 State Court Action is concluded; and

18 8. that until such time as this Court orders otherwise following the
19 conclusion of the State Court Action, this case is STAYED.

20 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

21
22 DATED: October 22, 2025

COX WOOTTON LERNER
GRIFFIN & HANSEN LLP

23
24 /s/ Zvonimir A. Sola

25 Zvonimir A. Sola
26 Attorneys for Plaintiff-in-Limitation
27 ARAMARK SPORTS AND
28 ENTERTAINMENT SERVICES, LLC

COX, WOOTTON,
LERNER, GRIFFIN &
HANSEN LLP

900 Front Street, Suite 350
San Francisco, CA 94111
Tel: 415-438-4600
Fax: 415-438-4601

1 DATED: October 22, 2025

BRODSKY MICKLOW BULL
& WEISS LLP

3 /s/ Kurt Micklow

4 Kurt Micklow

Attorneys for Claimant

5 MOHEY MERSAL (*as authorized by Kurt*
6 *Micklow on October 16, 2025*)

8 **ORDER**

9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: October 22, 2025

/s/ Daniel J. Calabretta

12 THE HONORABLE DANIEL J. CALABRETTA
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE